

**Remarks**

The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the “electrical device” recited in claim 17 is not shown. This feature has now been cancelled from the claims. It is therefore submitted that no corrections to the drawings are necessary.

The Examiner has rejected claims 12-15 and 19-20 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Examiner has also rejected claims 12-13 and 15 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12-15 and 19-20 have now been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-15, 17 and 19-20 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,383,106 to Kashiwase (hereinafter Kashiwase). It is respectfully submitted that the claims, as amended, distinguish over the Kashiwase reference. More particularly, claim 1 clearly relates to a drive system for driving implements attached to a vehicle by way of a power take off. Power take offs are used in agricultural and industrial applications to drive implements that are attached to and pulled by the vehicle. Kashiwase clearly does not disclose any kind of power take off that can be used to drive an attached implement. The element 5a of Kashiwase cited by the Examiner as being the power take off shaft is in fact a drive shaft that inputs to a CVT transmission that powers the drive wheels of the vehicle. There is nothing in the Kashiwase disclosure that suggests that this shaft is used to power attached implements. With respect to claim 3 there is nothing in the Kashiwase reference to suggest that the motor 2 can be driven indirectly by the engine. With respect to claims 6, 8 and 10 Kashiwase does not disclose a brake for stopping the power take off shaft (Kashiwase does not disclose a power take off). The wheel brakes which the Examiner asserts are inherent in the Kashiwase disclosure are not disclosed as being controlled by the controller as per claim 8, nor does the release of the brake allow the first electrical machine, the second electrical machine and the combination gearbox to be combined to an infinitely variable torque division gearbox for the power take-off shaft as per claim

Application No. 10/786,534  
Amendment Dated 20 February 2006  
Reply to Office Action of 22 August 2005

10.

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,



Attorney for Applicant(s)

W. Michael Dixon  
Reg. No. 37,815  
Patent Department  
Deere & Company  
One John Deere Place  
Moline, IL 61265  
Telephone No. (309) 765-5159

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22314-1450  
on 20 February 2006  
Date

Deere & Company

Signature

Date

